Accepted Manuscript

Title: National Osteoporosis Society Practical Clinical Guideline on Vitamin D and Bone Health

Author: R.M. Francis T.J. Aspray C.E. Bowring W.D. Fraser N.J. Gittoes M.K. Javaid H.M. Macdonald S. Patel P.L. Selby N. Tanna



 PII:
 S0378-5122(14)00384-3

 DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2014.11.018

 Reference:
 MAT 6294

To appear in:

Maturitas

Please cite this article as: Francis RM, Aspray TJ, Bowring CE, Fraser WD, Gittoes NJ, Javaid MK, Macdonald HM, Patel S, Selby PL, Tanna N, National Osteoporosis Society Practical Clinical Guideline on Vitamin D and Bone Health, *Maturitas* (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2014.11.018

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

National Osteoporosis Society Practical Clinical Guideline on Vitamin D and Bone Health

Authors: R.M.Francis (1), T.J.Aspray (1,2), C.E.Bowring (3), W.D.Fraser (4), N.J.Gittoes (5), M.K.Javaid (6), H.M.Macdonald (7), S.Patel (8), P.L.Selby (9), N.Tanna (10)

- 1. Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne□NE2 2HH.
- 2. Musculoskeletal Unit, Freeman Hospital, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE7 7DN.
- 3. National Osteoporosis Society, Bath, BA2 0PJ.
- 4. Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ.
- 5. Department of Medicine, University Hospitals Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TH.
- 6. Oxford NIHR Musculoskeletal BRU, NDORMS, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7HE.
- 7. Musculoskeletal Research, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD.
- 8. Department of Rheumatology, Epsom and St. Helier University Hospital, Carshalton, Surrey, SM5 3AA.
- 9. Institute of Human Development, University of Manchester and Department of Medicine, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9WL.
- 10. Womens Services & Arthritis Centre, London North West Healthcare NHS Trust, Harrow, Middlesex, HA1 3UJ.

Corrrespondence: Professor R.M.Francis, e-mail: <u>Roger.Francis@ncl.ac.uk</u> Tel: 01661-852642.

11.

Introduction

There is growing interest in the importance of vitamin D in the maintenance of bone health and the prevention of falls and fractures. Although there is no universal consensus on the criteria for vitamin D deficiency, this is common in the UK, particularly in frail older people (1). This has resulted in a marked increase in requests for serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D (250HD) estimation, but there has been confusion about the indications for these measurements, interpretation of the results and the management of vitamin D deficiency. The National Osteoporosis Society (NOS) has therefore developed a practical guideline on the management of vitamin D deficiency in adults who have or may be at risk of developing bone disease (2). A summary of the guideline has also been published (3). The guideline was written by a group of clinicians and scientists with expertise in vitamin D \Box and osteoporosis. It was based on evidence from the Institute of Medicine (IOM) report in 2010 (4), supplemented by literature reviews to identify papers published subsequently. In areas where evidence was unavailable, the Writing Group gave pragmatic advice, based on their own views and experience.

Assessment of Vitamin D Status

Measurement of serum 25OHD was considered to be the best way of estimating vitamin D status. \Box Ideally, the assay used should have the ability to measure 25OHD₂ and 25OHD₃ equally. In practice, this means that it should use either high performance liquid chromatography or tandem mass spectrometry. Although some laboratories restrict 25OHD measurements to patients with an abnormal adjusted serum calcium, parathyroid hormone (PTH) or alkaline phosphatase, these changes occur late in the development of vitamin D deficiency (5), so where there are clinical grounds for suspecting vitamin D deficiency, 25OHD should be measured without the need for any preliminary investigations.

Indications for Serum 250HD Measurement

The NOS guideline recommends that serum 250HD measurements are considered in patients with bone diseases that may be improved with vitamin D treatment or where correcting vitamin D deficiency prior to specific treatment would be appropriate This group includes patients with vitamin D deficiency osteomalacia, where vitamin D treatment improves symptoms such as musculoskeletal pain, hyperalgesia, muscle weakness and a waddling gait. Correcting vitamin D deficiency is also likely to be beneficial in osteoporosis, but particularly in patients starting treatment with a potent antiresorptive agent such as zoledronate or denosumab, to avoid the development of hypocalcaemia. There are other bone diseases where correcting vitamin D deficiency before drug treatment is recommended, such as when treating Paget's disease with a bisphosphonate. Nevertheless, routine 25OHD testing is unnecessary in patients with osteoporosis or fragility fracture, where a decision has already been made to co-prescribe vitamin D supplementation with an oral antiresorptive treatment. Symptoms that may be due to vitamin D deficiency are often vague and it can be difficult to determine if they are due to a low serum 250HD level. Nevertheless, serum 250HD should be considered if patients are suspected of having symptoms caused by osteomalacia. Serum 25OHD measurements are not recommended in asymptomatic healthy individuals with no evidence of bone disease.

Interpretation of Serum 250HD Measurements

The NOS guideline recommended the adoption of the following vitamin D thresholds advocated by the IOM (4):

- Serum 25OHD < 30 nmol/L is deficient \Box
- Serum 25OHD of 30–50 nmol/L may be inadequate in some people
- Serum 25OHD > 50 nmol/L is sufficient for almost the whole population

Applying these criteria in clinical practice, vitamin D treatment is recommended when the serum 25OHD is less than 30 nmol/L. In patients with a serum 25OHD between 30–50 nmol/L, treatment is advised in the following situations:

- Fragility fracture
- Documented osteoporosis
- High fracture risk
- Treatment with antiresorptive medication for bone disease
- Symptoms suggestive of vitamin D deficiency
- Increased risk of developing vitamin D deficiency in the future because of reduced exposure to sunlight, religious/cultural dress code, dark skin
- Raised PTH
- Medication with antiepileptic drugs or oral glucocorticoids
- Conditions associated with malabsorption.

Patients with a serum 25OHD above 50 nmol/L should be reassured and given advice on maintaining adequate vitamin D levels through safe sunlight exposure and diet.

Treatment of Vitamin D deficiency

The NOS guideline suggests that the key aims for treating vitamin D deficiency in patients with bone disease are to ensure correction of vitamin D deficiency and achieve a serum 25OHD >50 nmol/L, reverse the clinical consequences of vitamin D deficiency in a timely manner and to avoid toxicity. Vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol) is the treatment of choice for most patients with vitamin D deficiency, as this is cleared less rapidly and is more bioavailable than vitamin D₂ (ergocalciferol) (6), but the latter my be preferred by vegetarians and patients who wish to avoid vitamin D of animal origin because of religious or cultural beliefs. Oral administration of vitamin D is recommended, because of unpredictable bioavailability and slower correction of vitamin D deficiency with

intramuscular preparations (7,8).

There are a number of different potential approaches to vitamin D treatment, ranging from daily supplementation to high dose annual dosing. Although the latter is convenient and maybe associated with good compliance with medication, a recent study suggests an increased risk of falls and fractures with single annual doses of 500,000 IU (12,500 μ g) of vitamin D (9).

Where rapid correction of vitamin D deficiency is required, such as in patients with symptoms or those about to start treatment with a potent antiresorptive agent such as zoledronate or denosumab, the recommended treatment regimen is based on loading doses followed by regular maintenance therapy. Loading doses should provide a total of approximately 300,000 IU (7,500 μ g) vitamin D, given either as weekly or daily doses. The exact treatment regimen will depend on the available vitamin D preparations but examples include:

- 50,000 IU (1,250 μg) given weekly for 6 weeks (total 300,000 IU; 7,500 μg)
- 40,000 IU (1,000 μg) given weekly for 7 weeks (total 280,000 IU; 7,000 μg)
- 4,000 IU (100 μg) given daily for 10 weeks (280,000 IU; 7,000 μg)

Maintenance treatment should be considered one month after loading, with doses equivalent to 800 to 2,000 IU (20 to 50 μ g) vitamin D daily given either daily or intermittently at a higher equivalent dose. Where correction of vitamin D deficiency is less urgent and when co-prescribing vitamin D supplements with an oral antiresorptive agent, maintenance therapy may be started without the use of loading doses.

Monitoring of Vitamin D Treatment

As vitamin D treatment may occasionally unmask primary hyperparathyroidism, the NOS guideline recommends that protein adjusted serum calcium is checked one month after starting supplementation. Routing monitoring of serum 250HD is not recommended but may be appropriate in patients with symptomatic vitamin D deficiency, or malabsorption and where poor compliance with medication is suspected.

Review of the NOS Guideline

Prior to the publication of the NOS guideline there was extensive stakeholder consultation with interested individuals and organisations. The guideline was endorsed by the Bone Research Society, British Dietetic Association, British Geriatrics Society, Royal College of Nursing, Paget's Association, International Osteoporosis Foundation, United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association, Primary Care Rheumatology Society, Royal Pharmaceutical Society, British Orthopaedic Association, Society for Endocrinology, Arthritis Research UK and the Royal Society of Medicine. The guideline will be reviewed and updated if necessary in April 2016. A one page algorithm summarising the guideline is also available on the NOS website.

http://www.nos.org.uk/document.doc?id=1585

Contributor

All authors contributed equally to the guideline. **Competing Interests**

The development of the guideline was funded by the NOS, but the authors received no fees for this work. Since the publication of the NOS guideline, RMF has served as an adviser to Internis, Consilient and ProStrakan. WDF has served as an advisor to Siemens, Becton Dickinson and Roche regarding 250HD assay development. MKJ has been a speaker for Internis and served as an adviser to Consilient. HMM has served as an adviser to Internis and received vitamin D capsules from Pure Encapsulations for a research study of supplementation. PLS has served as an adviser to Internis.

Funding

The development of the guideline was funded by the NOS, but the authors received no fees for this work.

Provenance and peer review

Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

References

- 1. Hirani V, Primatesta P.Vitamin D concentrations among people aged 65 years and over living in private households and institutions in England: population survey. Age Ageing 2005; 34: 485-491.
- 2. National Osteoporosis Society. Vitamin D and Bone Health: A Practical □Clinical Guideline for Patient Management, 2013. http://www.nos.org.uk/document.doc?id=1352
- 3. Aspray TJ, Bowring C, Fraser W, Gittoes N, Javaid MK, Macdonald H, Patel S, Selby P, Tanna N, Francis RM. National osteoporosis society vitamin D guideline summary. Age Ageing 2014; 43: 592-595.
- 4. Ross AC, Institute of Medicine (U. S.). Committee to Review Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin D and Calcium., National Academies Press (U.S.). Dietary reference intakes: calcium vitamin D. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2011.
- 5. Campbell GA, Hosking DJ, Kemm JR, Boyd RV. Timing of screening for osteomalacia in the acutely ill elderly. Age Ageing 1986; 15: 156–164.
- 6. Tripkovic L, Lambert H, Hart K, Smith CP, Bucca G, Penson S, Chope G, Hyppönen E, Berry J, Vieth R, Lanham-New S. Comparison of vitamin D2 and vitamin D3 supplementation in raising serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr 2012; 95: 1357-1364.
- Cipriano C, Romagnoli E, Pepe J, Russo S, Carlucci L, Piemonte S, Nieddu L, McMahon DJ, Singh R, Minisola S. Long-term bioavailability after a single oral or intramuscular administration of 600,000 IU of ergocalciferol or cholecalciferol: implications for treatment and prophylaxis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2013; 98: 2709-2715.
- Nugent C, Roche K, Wilson S, Fitzgibbon M, Griffin D, NiChaidhin N, Mulkerrin E. The effect of intramuscular □vitamin D (cholecalciferol) on serum 250H vitamin D levels in older female acute hospital admissions. □Irish J Med Sci 2010; 179: 57-61
- 9. Sanders KM, Stuart AL, Williamson EJ, Simpson JA, Kotowicz MA, Young D, Nicholson GC. Annual high-dose oral vitamin D and falls and fractures in older women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2010; 303: 1815-1822.