1

- 2 MR. GARETH LINGHAM (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-8957-0733)
- 3 DR. PAUL SANFILIPPO (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-1778-9154)



8

7

9 Time spent outdoors through childhood and adolescence – assessed by 25-hydroxyvitamin

- 10 D concentration and risk of myopia at 20 years
- 11 Gareth Lingham¹, David A Mackey¹, Kun Zhu^{2,3}, Robyn M Lucas^{1,4}, Lucinda J Black⁵, Wendy
- 12 H Oddy⁶, Patrick Holt⁷, John P Walsh^{2,3}, Paul G Sanfilippo⁸, Wendy Chan She Ping-Delfos⁹,
- 13 Seyhan Yazar^{1,10}
- ¹Lions Eye Institute, Centre for Ophthalmology and Visual Science, University of Western
- 15 Australia, Perth, Australia
- ¹⁶ ²Medical School, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia
- ¹⁷ ³Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Perth, Australia
- ⁴National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Research School of Population
- 19 Health, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia
- 20 ⁵School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, Australia
- ⁶Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia
- 22 ⁷Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, Australia
- ²³ ⁸Centre for Eye Research Australia, Royal Victorian Eye and Ear Hospital, University of
- 24 Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/aos.14709</u>

- ⁹Brightwater Research Centre, Brightwater Care Group, Perth, Australia
- 26 ¹⁰Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia

27



38 Nedlands WA 6009

39 Abstract

40 **Purpose**: To investigate the relationship between time spent outdoors, at particular ages in

41 childhood and adolescence, and myopia status in young adulthood using serum 25-

42 hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentration as a biomarker of time spent outdoors.

Methods: Participants of the Raine Study Generation 2 cohort had 25(OH)D concentrations measured at the 6- 14-, 17- and 20-year follow-ups. Participants underwent cycloplegic autorefraction at age 20 years and myopia was defined as a mean spherical equivalent -0.50 dioptres or more myopic. Logistic regression was used to analyse the association between risk of myopia at age 20 years and age-specific 25(OH)D concentrations. Linear mixedeffects models were used to analyse trajectory of 25(OH)D concentrations from 6 to 20 years.

Results: After adjusting for sex, race, parental myopia, body mass index and studying
status, myopia at 20 years was associated with lower 25(OH)D concentration at 20 years
(per 10 nmol/L decrease, odds ratio (aOR)=1.10, 95%CI: 1.02, 1.18) and a low vitamin D

- 53 status [25(OH)D<50 nmol/L] at 17 years (aOR=1.71, 95%CI: 1.06, 2.76) and 20 years
- 54 (aOR=1.71, 95%CI: 1.14, 2.56), compared to those without low vitamin D status. There were
- no associations between 25(OH)D at younger ages and myopia. Individuals who were
- 56 myopic at 20 years had a 25(OH)D concentration trajectory that declined, relative to non-
- 57 myopic peers, with increasing age. Differences in 25(OH)D trajectory between individuals
- with and without myopia were greater among non-Caucasians compared to Caucasians.
- 59 **Conclusions:** Myopia in young adulthood was most strongly associated with recent
- 60 25(OH)D concentrations, a marker of time spent outdoors.
- 61 Key words: Vitamin D, myopia, the Raine Study, time outdoors

62 INTRODUCTION

Myopia affects over one-fifth of young adult Australians (McKnight et al. 2014). Myopia is linked to higher risk of visual impairment from conditions such as retinal detachment and myopic maculopathy (Vongphanit et al. 2002; Mitry et al. 2010; Marcus et al. 2011) and risk escalates with increasing severity of myopia (Tideman et al. 2016). The prevalence of myopia is rising globally (Holden et al. 2016) and this will incur considerable economic costs into the future (Zheng et al. 2013; Naidoo et al. 2019).

Over the last decade, spending little time outdoors has emerged as a risk factor for myopia 69 and a potential target for intervention (Jones et al. 2007; Rose et al. 2008; Guggenheim et 70 al. 2012; French et al. 2013; He et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2018). Indeed, to combat the rising 71 prevalence of myopia, countries such as Singapore and Taiwan have implemented public 72 health interventions aimed at increasing children's time spent outdoors. These interventions 73 74 predominantly target children since an earlier age of onset of myopia is associated with more myopic refractive error in later life and consequently greater risk of myopia-associated visual 75 impairment (Pärssinen et al. 2014; Chua et al. 2016). It is not clear whether time spent 76 77 outdoors is more important at particular ages, and whether reductions in myopia risk from spending more time outdoors in childhood are sustained into adulthood, when myopia 78 79 typically ceases to develop. Previous studies investigating the effect of time spent outdoors at particular ages on risk of future myopia did not extend their follow-ups beyond 80 81 adolescence (i.e. 15-17 years) and may have been limited by use of questionnaires to 82 assess time spent outdoors, which can be relatively coarse (Guggenheim et al. 2012; French 83 et al. 2013; Shah et al. 2017). Time spent outdoors as measured by questionnaire is subject 84 to recall error, although it is moderately correlated with objective measures (questionnaire vs dosimeter, r=0.46, p=0.003) (Cargill et al. 2013; Køster et al. 2017). To address this, it is 85 possible to investigate the association between myopia in adulthood, when myopia has 86

stabilized, and an objective biomarker of time spent outdoors measured during childhoodand adolescence.

- 89 Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] concentration is the usual marker of vitamin D status 90 and, in Australians not taking vitamin D supplements, is predominantly derived from endogenous synthesis following exposure of the skin to ultraviolet radiation (Nowson & 91 Mergerison 2002). Serum 25(OH)D concentration is an objective biomarker of recent 92 (weeks/months) time spent outdoors in children and adults (Jones et al. 1999; van der Mei et 93 al. 2006; Bener et al. 2009; Hanwell et al. 2010), being most strongly associated with 94 95 cumulative sun exposure over the preceding 6 weeks (Nair-Shalliker et al. 2013), but also 96 associated with reported sun exposure over the preceding 3 years (r=0.31, p<0.01) (van der 97 Mei et al. 2006). Lower 25(OH)D concentration is associated with higher risk of myopia (Mutti & Marks 2011; Choi et al. 2014; Yazar et al. 2014; Tideman et al. 2016; Tang et al. 98 2019), but it seems unlikely that this relationship is causal (Guggenheim et al. 2014; Cuellar-99 Partida et al. 2017); rather that 25(OH)D concentration acts as a biomarker of time spent 100 101 outdoors.
- 102 Using measurements of 25(OH)D concentration as a biomarker of time outdoors, we aimed
- to investigate how 25(OH)D concentrationat ages 6, 14, 17 and 20 years, is related to
- 104 myopia risk at 20 years. Additionally, we performed a trajectory analysis to assess how
- 105 changes in 25(OH)D concentration, and consequently time spent outdoors, between ages 6
- and 20 years differed between those with and without myopia at 20 years.

107 MATERIALS & METHODS

108 Participants

The Raine Study is a multi-generation, longitudinal cohort study. We analysed data from 109 Generation 2 (Gen2) of the Raine Study (hereafter referred to as the "participants") a cohort 110 of individuals who have been followed longitudinally since birth. Between 1989 and 1992, 111 pregnant mothers of Gen2 participants were recruited into the Raine Study when the 112 participants were between 16 and 20 weeks of gestation (n=2968). There were 2868 113 (98.9%) live births (50.7% male). Since birth, participants of the Gen2 cohort have been 114 invited to participate in regular follow-ups including at age 6, 14, 17 and 20 years (Yazar et 115 al. 2013; Straker et al. 2017). Height and weight were measured at all follow-ups. The 116 number of participants in each successive follow-up has gradually declined over time 117 (Straker et al. 2017). There is no difference in infant birth characteristics between those who 118 did and did not participate in the Gen2 20-year follow-up, with the exception that those who 119 participated were more likely to be of Caucasian race (79.5% vs 85.5%, p<0.001) (Straker et 120

- al. 2017). Participants provided written informed consent prior to participating in any follow-
- 122 up of the Raine Study. Follow-ups in this analysis were approved by the University of
- 123 Western Australia Human Research Ethics Committee and adhered to the Tenets of the
- 124 Declaration of Helsinki.

125 Questionnaire data

At the 20-year follow-up, participants completed questionnaires on current studying status 126 127 (yes/no), past ocular history, and parental myopia status (none, one or two). Parents of the participants self-reported their race; participants were classified as Caucasian if both parents 128 reported being of Caucasian race. Participants also reported the average proportion of their 129 non-work day spent outdoors in summer (none, less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of the day, $\frac{1}{2}$ of the day, greater 130 131 than ³/₄ of the day, cannot judge), and average proportion of leisure time spent outdoors in 132 winter (mostly indoors, 1/2 and 1/2, mostly outdoors, don't know). These questionnaire data 133 were previously validated in a study showing that greater self-reported time spent outdoors 134 in summer and winter is associated with larger conjunctival ultraviolet autofluorescence area, an objective measure of time spent outdoors (McKnight et al. 2015). At a later follow-up (23-135 year follow-up), participants reported the age when they first started wearing glasses or 136 contact lenses. 137

138 Assessment of 25(OH)D concentration

Fasting blood samples were collected from participants at the 6-, 14-, 17- and 20-year 139 follow-ups. Sera were stored at -80°C until analysis. Total serum 25(OH)D concentrations of 140 samples from the 6- and 14-year follow-ups were measured by enzyme immunoassay (EIA; 141 142 Immunodiagnostic Systems Ltd., USA). At the 17- and 20-year follow-ups, $25(OH)D_2$ and 143 $25(OH)D_3$ concentrations were measured using isotope-dilution liquid chromatography-144 tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) according to a published methodology (Maunsell et 145 al. 2005; Zhu et al. 2017). For consistency with EIA results, 25(OH)D₂ and 25(OH)D₃ concentrations were summed to calculate total 25(OH)D concentration. Interbatch 146 coefficients of variation for the low, medium and high standards ranged from 4.6% to 8.7% 147 for the EIA and 5.0% to 8.8% for the LC-MS/MS and are detailed in the supporting 148

- 149 information (Yazar et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2017).
- 150 Serum 25(OH)D concentration was re-measured using LC-MS/MS in 50 of the 6-year
- 151 samples and 12 of the 14-year samples. There was a high correlation between LC-MS/MS
- and EIA in the 12 re-measured samples from the 14-year follow-up ($r^2=0.933$) (Hollams et al.
- 153 2011). Compared to LC-MS/MS, EIA was found to overestimate 25(OH)D concentration in
- the 50 re-measured samples from the 6-year follow-up (Hollams et al. 2011; Anderson et al.

- 155 2014). We therefore used a previously developed weighted Deming regression equation to
- adjust for this overestimation as follows: $Adjusted 25(0H)D = 22.3 + 0.58 \times EIA$ (Anderson
- et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2017). Vitamin D status was defined as low (25(OH)D concentration <
- 158 50nmol/L), medium (\geq 50nmol/L and <75nmol/L) and high (\geq 75nmol/L) (Zhu et al. 2017).

159 Eye examination

- 160 At the 20-year follow-up (2010-2012), participants underwent a comprehensive eye
- 161 examination. Refractive error was measured by autorefraction (Nidek ARK-510A, Nidek Co.
- 162 Ltd, Japan) after instillation of 1 drop of tropicamide 1% and phenylephrine 10% in each eye
- 163 (Yazar et al. 2013). Myopia was defined as a mean spherical equivalent of both eyes ≤-0.50
- dioptres (D) (Yazar et al. 2014), and was further classified into low (\leq -0.50D and >-3.00D),
- 165 moderate (\leq -3.00D and >-6.00D) and high (\leq -6.00D) myopia.

166 Statistical analysis

- 167 Participants were excluded from the analysis if they: did not have any 25(OH)D
- 168 measurements; did not have post-cycloplegic autorefraction data; or, had a history of an
- 169 ocular or genetic condition or previous ocular surgery known to affect refractive error.
- 170 We assessed the usefulness of 25(OH)D concentration as a marker of time spent outdoors
- in this study by examining the relationship between raw 25(OH)D concentration and self-
- 172 reported time spent outdoors at 20 years. For participants who attended the 20-year follow-
- 173 up between December and March (Australian summer is December to February), we
- analysed the association between 25(OH)D concentration and self-reported time spent
- 175 outdoors in summer (summer analysis). For participants who attended the 20-year follow-up
- 176 between June and September (Australian winter is June to August), we analysed the
- association between 25(OH)D concentration and self-reported time spent outdoors in winter
- 178 (winter analysis). Linear regression models were constructed for both the summer and winter
- analyses adjusting for age, sex, race and body mass index (BMI).
- 180 As blood samples were collected throughout the year, we deseasonalised 25(OH)D
- 181 concentration measurements prior to all analyses by fitting a sinusoidal model as previously
- 182 described (van der Mei et al. 2006). We identified the following potential confounders
- between myopia and 25(OH)D concentration from prior studies: sex (Hollams et al. 2011),
- number of myopic parents (McKnight et al. 2014; Yazar et al. 2014; Shah et al. 2017), BMI
- 185 (Mai et al. 2012; Black et al. 2014), studying status at 20-year follow-up (yes/no) (McKnight
- 186 et al. 2014; Yazar et al. 2014), and race (Caucasian/non-Caucasian) (Yazar et al. 2014).
- 187 Potential confounders were included as covariates in all multivariable models (see below)

investigating the association between myopia and 25(OH)D concentrations or vitamin Dstatus.

190 Age-specific 25(OH)D concentration and myopia

We used regression modelling to analyse the association between myopia status at 20 years (logistic regression) or spherical equivalent at 20 years (linear regression) and 25(OH)D concentration as a continuous variable at ages 6, 14, 17 and 20 years separately, before and after adjusting for confounders. Based on a previously identified threshold (Yazar et al. 2014), we also tested vitamin D status as a categorical variable as low (25(OH)D <50nmol/L) vs medium and high (≥50nmol/L) at ages 14, 17 and 20 years (age 6 years not included because only 5 participants had a low vitamin D status).

To assess whether incomplete 25(OH)D data at ages 6, 14 or 17 years was introducing any bias to this analysis, we conducted a sensitivity analysis using logistic regression to analyse the association between myopia or spherical equivalent and 25(OH)D concentration or vitamin D status for those with complete 25(OH)D data for all follow-ups (n=390, 31.0%) or with complete data at both the 6- and 20-year or 14- and 20-year follow-ups.

203 Trajectory analyses

204 We investigated whether those who were myopic at 20 years had different 25(OH)D 205 concentration trajectories compared to those who were not myopic by constructing linear mixed-effects models (LMM) using the 'Ime4' package, similar to previous studies (Jones-206 Jordan et al. 2011; Shah et al. 2017). LMMs are robust to missing data and can account for 207 the correlation between consecutive 25(OH)D measurements within an individual. The 208 209 outcome variable in LMM was 25(OH)D concentration from the 6- to the 20-year follow-ups. Because the distribution of 25(OH)D concentrations was positively skewed, we square root-210 transformed the deseasonalised 25(OH)D concentration as this most closely approximated a 211 212 normal distribution (Figures S1 and Figure S2). Random intercepts for each subject were included in LMMs to account for within-subject correlation. 213

We then fitted two models, first stratifying 25(OH)D trajectories by myopia status at 20 years (yes/no) and second stratifying 25(OH)D trajectory by severity of myopia at 20 years (none, low, moderate, high). Both models were adjusted for all potential confounders (sex, race, parental myopia, BMI, studying status). Interactions between myopia and age, sex and Caucasian/non-Caucasian race were tested using Wald Chi Square tests. A quadratic term was used to test for non-linear 25(OH)D concentration trajectories.

220 Age of onset

- 221 To investigate 25(OH)D concentration and age of onset of myopia, we used data on age
- 222 when first started wearing glasses or contact lenses to code participants as: "not myopic" if
- they were not myopic at the age the 25(OH)D sample was collected and remained not
- 224 myopic at the next follow-up, "became myopic" if they were not myopic at the age the
- 225 25(OH)D sample was collected but became myopic prior to the next follow-up and "myopic"
- if they were myopic at the time of 25(OH)D sample collection. The same LMM was then
- 227 fitted as above but 25(OH)D concentrations were stratified by age of onset of myopia status
- 228 rather than myopia status or myopia severity.
- The significance level was set at 5%. All analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.1 (R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

231 RESULTS

At the 20-year follow-up, 1344 participants attended an eye examination (46.9% of original 232 cohort). Of these, 27 (2.0%) met ocular exclusion criteria or had missing autorefraction data, 233 and 57 (4.2%) did not have at least one 25(OH)D measurement, leaving 1260 (93.8%) for 234 this analysis. Table 1 shows the participant characteristics at each follow-up. Participants 235 236 were predominantly Caucasian and there was a slight preponderance of males. In this study, 276 (21.9%) participants were myopic at the 20-year follow-up and of these, 203 (16.1%), 57 237 238 (4.5%) and 16 (1.3%) participants had low, moderate and high myopia, respectively. Participants were more likely to be myopic at 20 years if they were non-Caucasian (32.1% vs 239 240 20.2%, p<0.001), had more parents who were myopic (0 vs 1 vs 2; 18.2% vs 34.1% vs

40.8%, respectively, p<0.001), or if they were currently studying (27.4% vs 15.9%, p<0.001).

- 242 Greater self-reported time spent outdoors was associated with higher raw 25(OH)D
- concentrations in both summer and winter. In those who attended the 20-year follow-up
- between the months of December and March, reporting a greater proportion of the day spent
- outdoors in summer was associated with higher raw 25(OH)D concentration at the 20-year
- follow-up (per one category increase [none, $<\frac{1}{4}$ of the day, $\frac{1}{2}$ of the day, $>\frac{3}{4}$ of the day].,
- β =9.2 nmol/L, 95% CI: 4.3, 14.1, p<0.001) and explained an additional 5.5% of the variation
- in 25(OH)D concentration after adjusting for covariates. In those who attended the 20-year
- follow-up between June and September, reporting a higher proportion of leisure time spent
- 250 outdoors in winter was associated with higher raw 25(OH)D concentration at the 20-year
- follow-up (per one category increase [mostly indoors, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, mostly outdoors], β =7.1
- nmol/L, 95% CI: 3.8, 10.4, p<0.001) and explained an additional 4.1% of the variation in
- 253 25(OH)D concentration after adjusting for covariates.

254 Insert Table 1 here

255 Age-specific 25(OH)D concentration, vitamin D status and myopia risk at 20 years

Table 2 shows the univariate and multivariable associations between 25(OH)D concentration 256 257 at ages 6, 14, 17 and 20 years and myopia or spherical equivalent at the 20-year follow-up. 258 The association between 25(OH)D concentration and myopia or spherical equivalent was not significantly different between males and females at any follow-up. Lower 25(OH)D 259 concentration at 20 years and low vitamin D status at 17 and 20 years were significantly 260 261 associated with increased risk of myopia and lower 25(OH)D concentration/status at 20 years was associated with a more negative (i.e. more myopic) spherical equivalent in the 262 263 multivariable adjusted analysis. The sensitivity analysis of those with complete 25(OH)D 264 data at all follow-ups and with complete data at the 6- and 20-year or the 14- and 20-year 265 follow-ups are shown in Tables S1a, S1b and S2. Results were similar for analyses of myopia. Analyses of spherical equivalent (Table S2) did differ slightly in that a higher 266 25(OH)D concentration at the 14-year follow-up was associated with a more positive 267 spherical equivalent after adjusting for confounders (Beta=0.07, 95% CI: 0.01, 0.13). 268

269 Insert Table 2 here

270 Trajectory analysis

The estimated 25(OH)D concentration trajectories for those with or without myopia at 20 years (model 1) or with none, low, moderate or high myopia at 20 years (model 2; myopia severity treated as an ordinal variable) are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 (model estimates provided in Table S3 and Table S4). In both models, there was a significant interaction between age and sex such that, compared to females, males had a 25(OH)D trajectory that was initially higher at 6 years but declined to become lower at 20 years.

In model 1, there was a significant interaction between myopia status at 20 years and both
race and age, indicating that the shape of the 25(OH)D trajectory was significantly different
between those with and without myopia and Caucasians vs non-Caucasians. The difference
in 25(OH)D concentration trajectory between participants with and without myopia was
smaller in Caucasians than in non-Caucasians. Relative to those who remained non-myopic,
those who were myopic at age 20 years had a decline in 25(OH)D concentration as they
aged.

The interaction terms in the LMMs indicate that the slopes of the trajectories of 25(OH)D concentration are significantly different between myopic and non-myopic individuals. This does not necessarily indicate that the mean age-specific 25(OH)D concentrations are significantly different and Figure 1 shows that the 95% confidence intervals for the mean

- 288 25(OH)D concentration largely overlap at all ages, with the exception of age 15 years and
- onwards in the non-Caucasian group, suggesting that the age-specific 25(OH)D
- 290 concentrations are predominantly not significantly different.

291 Insert Figure 1 here

- In model 2, there was a significant interaction between age and myopia severity only, such
- that, relative to those without myopia, those with more severe myopia had declining
- 294 25(OH)D concentrations with increasing age. The myopia groups had similar 25(OH)D
- concentrations at age 6 years, but differences were pronounced by age 20 years.
- 296 Insert Figure 2 here

297 Age of onset

Age of onset data was available for 225/276 (81.4%) individuals with myopia. There was no significant difference in reported age of onset between Caucasians and non-Caucasians (mean: 14.1 vs 15.4 years, p=0.09). Using LMM, those in the "became myopic" and "myopic" groups had on average a significantly lower 25(OH)D concentration by approximately 3.8 nmol/L (coefficients: became myopic=-0.24, 95% CI: -0.45, -0.03; myopic=-0.24, 95% CI: -0.41, -0.07), compared to the not myopic group across all follow-ups.

304 DISCUSSION

In summary, we found that low 25(OH)D concentration at 20 years of age and a low vitamin 305 306 D status at 17 and 20 years of age were associated with increased risk of being myopic by 307 age 20 years. Low 25(OH)D concentration was also associated with a more myopic 308 spherical equivalent at the 20-year follow-up. These findings agree with a previous cross-309 sectional analysis of this same cohort in which a $25(OH)D_3$ concentration <50nmol/L was associated with higher odds of myopia compared with a $25(OH)D_3$ concentration ≥ 50 nmol/L 310 311 (Yazar et al. 2014). In the trajectory analysis, those who were myopic at 20 years, or who had more severe myopia, had 25(OH)D concentration trajectories that declined relative to 312 313 those without myopia as they became older. Consistent with this, the difference in total 25(OH)D concentration between those with and without myopia was greatest at 20 years 314 315 and less at younger ages. Finally, those who developed myopia between the 6- and 20-year follow-ups had significantly lower 25(OH)D concentration prior to the onset of myopia. 316 Serum 25(OH)D concentration appeared to be a reasonable marker of time spent outdoors 317

- in young adulthood in our study. Reported time outdoors accounted for around 5% of the
- variation in 25(OH)D concentration, similar to a smaller Australian study which found that 8%

of the variance in 25(OH)D concentration was accounted for by reported solar ultraviolet radiation exposure over the preceding 16 weeks. We could not internally validate the usefulness of 25(OH)D concentration as a marker of time outdoors at ages 6, 14 and 17 years, but other studies have shown that time spent outdoors and 25(OH)D concentration are associated at these ages (Jones et al. 1999; Bener et al. 2009).

Previous longitudinal studies and randomised controlled trials have demonstrated that 325 326 spending more time outdoors in childhood protects against the onset of myopia in the subsequent 3- to 6-year period (Jones-Jordan et al. 2011; Guggenheim et al. 2012; French 327 328 et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2013; He et al. 2015). The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and 329 Children (ALSPAC), showed that greater primary caregiver-reported time spent outdoors at 330 ages 3, 4, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5 and 8.5 years were all associated with reduced likelihood of becoming myopic between ages 10 and 15 years (Shah et al. 2017), although this study was 331 limited to non-cycloplegic autorefraction data, which overestimate myopia (Fotedar et al. 332 2007; Sanfilippo et al. 2014). An Australian study found that the 5- to 6-year risk of incident 333 334 myopia was lower in children who spent high compared to low amounts of time outdoors as measured by parent questionnaires at both ages 6 and 12 years, but the effect was slightly 335 greater for the younger cohort (French et al. 2013). 336

337 In our study, we did not detect an association between myopia status or spherical equivalent at 20 years and 25(OH)D concentration at 6 or 14 years, despite previous studies showing 338 339 an association between time outdoors and risk of myopia at these ages. We may not have detected such an association for a number of reasons. First, we may have lacked power due 340 to the lower number of participants with 25(OH)D measurements at 6 years (n=618) or with 341 a low vitamin D status (n=5 and n=39 at 6 and 14 years, respectively). The smaller 342 343 difference in mean 25(OH)D concentration at younger ages, as indicated in the trajectory analysis, would also reduce our power to detect an effect at these ages. Second, EIA was 344 345 used to assess 25(OH)D concentration at the 6- and 14-year follow-ups; lower accuracy 346 and/or precision of the EIA could have reduced the ability to detect an association (Lai et al. 347 2012). Third, time spent outdoors and 25(OH)D concentration at 6 and 14 years may be 348 associated with myopia incidence over the short- or medium-term but less strongly associated with myopia at 20 years. Fourth, it is possible that 25(OH)D concentration is a 349 poorer marker of time spent outdoors at these ages, although associations between time 350 outdoors and 25(OH)D concentration have been reported in pre-pubertal children (Jones et 351 al. 1999). 352

The trajectory analysis showed that the trajectories of 25(OH)D concentration were different between those with and without myopia at 20 years; that is, a significant difference in the

shape of the trajectories between those with and without myopia. This this does not
necessarily mean that there were significant differences in the age-specific estimates of
25(OH)D concentration. Indeed, the substantial overlap of the 95% confidence intervals in
Figure 1 suggests the age-specific 25(OH)D distributions are not significantly different,
particularly at younger ages.

In Caucasian individuals (85% of cohort), the 25(OH)D concentration trajectories were 360 361 similar between myopic and non-myopic individuals in early childhood but then diverged with the differences becoming more apparent at older ages. Thus, we were able to find 362 differences in 25(OH)D concentration in myopic and non-myopic individuals only for older 363 ages. On the other hand, non-Caucasian children who were myopic at 20 years had lower 364 365 25(OH)D concentration from early childhood compared to non-myopic peers. It is possible that that differences in the amount of time spent outdoors between myopic and non-myopic 366 individuals start to arise in childhood, but we were unable to detect a significant difference in 367 our study. Our trajectory results agree with those from the ALSPAC, which found that 368 369 primary caregivers of children who became myopic between ages 10 and 15 years reported declining amounts of time spent outdoors between ages 2 and 8 years, relative to those who 370 remained non-myopic (Shah et al. 2017). 371

It is unclear why the difference in 25(OH)D trajectory between myopes and non-myopes was 372 apparent at an earlier age in non-Caucasians compared to Caucasians. Non-Caucasians, 373 both with and without myopia, had lower 25(OH)D concentrations overall. This may be 374 related to having darker skin pigmentation, which reduces endogenous synthesis of vitamin 375 376 D (Mithal et al. 2009), or due to non-Caucasian individuals spending less time outside and having less sun exposure (Rose et al. 2008; Guo et al. 2014). Non-Caucasians also had a 377 378 higher prevalence of myopia in this study and children of East or South-East Asian ethnicity have been noted to have a higher incidence (French et al. 2013) and progression (Pärssinen 379 380 et al. 2020) of myopia compared to Caucasian populations. A previous study found higher 381 25(OH)D concentration was associated with a larger reduction in risk of myopia among 382 participants of East Asian ethnicity compared to Caucasians (Yazar et al. 2014). Thus, the 383 larger difference in 25(OH)D trajectory between individuals with and without myopia among non-Caucasian participants could be reflective of the generally higher prevalence of myopia 384 among these participants or could suggest that greater amounts of time spent outdoors are 385 required to reduce risk of myopia among non-Caucasian individuals. 386

387 It was somewhat unexpected that serum 25(OH)D trajectories of those with no, low,

- moderate and high myopia were similar in early childhood (Figure 2), with model
- extrapolations suggesting that these trajectories only diverge around 8-10 years of age,

although we had no 25(OH)D concentration data at these ages. Those with more severe
myopia typically have onset at an earlier age (Pärssinen et al. 2014; Chua et al. 2016). We

- therefore expected those with moderate or high myopia, who most likely developed myopia
- early in life, to have an associated low 25(OH)D concentration, compared to non-myopic
- 394 peers, in early childhood. When we investigated whether 25(OH)D concentrations were
- lower prior to, or after, the onset of myopia, compared to those who remained non-myopic,
- 396 we found that those who became myopic had a lower 25(OH)D concentration prior to onset
- of myopia and this was comparable to those who were already myopic. This tentatively
- indicates there is a decrease in time spent outdoors prior to myopia onset, as shown in other
- 399 studies (Jones-Jordan et al. 2011), and this decrease is sustained after the onset of myopia.

400 There are two clinically relevant findings from this study. First, spending more time outdoors in early adulthood was associated with reduced risk of myopia. Thus, to prevent myopia in 401 adulthood, individuals will need to ensure regular time spent outdoors through late 402 403 adolescence and early adulthood and it seems likely that behavioural interventions will be 404 effective in this period. It is possible that we detected a significant association between 405 myopia and low vitamin D status, but not 25(OH)D concentration, at age 17 years because 406 of a threshold effect, in which those who spend very little time outside are at much higher risk of myopia (Yazar et al. 2014). Second, our data suggested that those who were myopic 407 had trajectories of 25(OH)D concentration that were similar to their peers in early childhood, 408 but diverged from their peers with increasing age, showing lower concentration. Therefore, 409 410 behavioural interventions to prevent myopia by increasing time spent outdoors may be best targeted to childhood to prevent this divergence. 411

A limitation of our study was the change in 25(OH)D assay method between follow-ups. This 412 could potentially induce false associations or mask true associations, particularly in trajectory 413 models. However, rank order should be approximately preserved between EIA and LC-414 415 MS/MS measurements (Farrell et al. 2012) and a previous analysis of the same Raine Study 416 data found relatively consistent intraclass correlations between any two 25(OH)D 417 measurements at ages 6, 14, 17 or 20 years (0.40-0.67) (Zhu et al. 2017). Retention of 418 participants is a challenge in long-term cohort studies and nearly half the Raine Study cohort did not participate in the 20-year follow-up. Our study may therefore have suffered from 419 attrition bias. Participant characteristics were similar between those who did and did not 420 participate in this study, but, as those who participated were more likely to be Caucasian and 421 race was associated with myopia in our study, it is possible that those who did not participate 422 were more or less likely to be myopic and we cannot rule out any impact of attrition bias. Our 423 study also lacked data on vitamin D supplementation, which increases serum 25(OH)D 424 425 concentration and could reduce its value as a marker of time spent outdoors (Black et al.

2016), as well as near work, a known risk factor for myopia (Huang et al. 2015). Myopia
status was not measured at younger ages and we were therefore unable to thoroughly
investigate the short-term effects of time outdoors at ages 6 and 14 years on myopia and
relied on recall data when investigating age of onset of myopia.

The strengths of our study are the use of cycloplegic autorefraction to determine myopia status, the assessment of myopia at an age when further myopia is unlikely to develop, the objective assessment of time outdoors using 25(OH)D concentration, the relatively long period over which 25(OH)D samples were collected and the availability of data on race and parental myopia.

Our results show that less time spent outdoors – as assessed by an objective biomarker – at ages 17 and 20 years is associated with increased risk of myopia. Those who were myopic at 20 years had a 25(OH)D concentration trajectory that declined relative to those who remained non-myopic with increasing age; suggesting these individuals spent less time outdoors as they became older. To reduce risk of myopia in young adulthood, high amounts of time spent outdoors may need to be sustained through late adolescence and into young adulthood.

442 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We thank the Raine Study participants and their families and the Raine Study team for cohort coordination and data collection. We thank Denise Anderson for developing the weighted Deming regression equation for adjusting the 6-year 25(OH)D measures.

This work has been presented at the International Myopia Conference on the 12th

447 September 2019 and at the Orthoptics Australia Annual Scientific Meeting on the 10th

448 November 2019.

Funding Sources: The Raine Study is supported by the University of Western Australia, 449 Curtin University, the Raine Medical Research Foundation, Telethon Kids Institute, Edith 450 Cowan University, Women and Infants Research Foundation, Murdoch University and The 451 University of Notre Dame Australia. The 5-, 14-, 17- and 20-year follow-ups of the Raine 452 Study were all supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (specifically, 453 grants 963209, 211912, 003209, 353514, 403981, 1022134 and 1021105). Analysis of the 454 17-year 25(OH)D samples was funded by the Ada Bartholomew Medical Research Trust. 455 The 20-year follow-up of the Raine Study also received funding from Ophthalmic Research 456 Institute of Australia (ORIA), Lions Eye Institute, the Australian Foundation for the Prevention 457

of Blindness, and Alcon Research Institute. GL is supported by an Australian Government

- 459 Research Training Stipend, RML is supported by a NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship, SY
- by a NHMRC CJ Martin Biomedical Fellowship, LJB by an MS Research Australia
- 461 Postdoctoral Fellowship and a Curtin University Research Fellowship, and DAM by a
- 462 NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship. The funders had no role in the study.
- 463 **Conflict of Interest:** There are no conflicts of interest to declare

464 **REFERENCES**

- Anderson D, Holt BJ, Pennell CE, Holt PG, Hart PH & Blackwell JM (2014): Genome-wide
 association study of vitamin D levels in children: Replication in the Western
- 467 Australian pregnancy cohort (Raine) study. Genes Immun **15**: 578-583.
- Bener A, Al-Ali M & Hoffmann GF (2009): Vitamin D deficiency in healthy children in a sunny
 country: Associated factors. Int J Food Sci Nutr 60: 60-70.
- Black LJ, Burrows SA, Jacoby P, et al. (2014): Vitamin D status and predictors of serum 25hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in Western Australian adolescents. Br J Nutr **112**:
 1154-1162.
- Black LJ, Jacoby P, Nowson CA, Daly RM & Lucas RM (2016): Predictors of vitamin D containing supplement use in the Australian population and associations between
 dose and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations. Nutrients 8.
- 476 Cargill J, Lucas RM, Gies P, King K, Swaminathan A, Allen MW & Banks E (2013):
- 477 Validation of brief questionnaire measures of sun exposure and skin pigmentation
 478 against detailed and objective measures including vitamin D status. Photochem
 479 Photobiol 89: 219-226.
- Choi JA, Han K, Park Y-M & La TY (2014): Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is associated
 with myopia in Korean adolescents. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 55: 2041-2047.
- Chua SYL, Sabanayagam C, Cheung Y-B, et al. (2016): Age of onset of myopia predicts risk
 of high myopia in later childhood in myopic Singapore children. Ophthalmic Physiol
 Opt 36: 388-394.
- Cuellar-Partida G, Williams KM, Yazar S, et al. (2017): Genetically low vitamin D
 concentrations and myopic refractive error: A Mendelian randomization study. Int J
 Epidemiol 46: 1882-1890.
- Farrell C-JL, Martin S, McWhinney B, Straub I, Williams P & Herrmann M (2012): State-of the-art vitamin D assays: A comparison of automated immunoassays with liquid
 chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry methods. Clin Chem 58: 531-542.
- Fotedar R, Rochtchina E, Morgan I, Wang JJ, Mitchell P & Rose KA (2007): Necessity of
 cycloplegia for assessing refractive error in 12-year-old children: A population-based
 study. Am J Ophthalmol **144**: 307-309.

494 French AN, Morgan IG, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P & Rose KA (2013): Prevalence and 5- to 6-495 year incidence and progression of myopia and hyperopia in Australian 496 schoolchildren. Ophthalmology 120: 1482-1491. French AN, Morgan IG, Mitchell P & Rose KA (2013): Risk factors for incident myopia in 497 Australian schoolchildren: The Sydney Adolescent Vascular and Eye Study. 498 Ophthalmology 120: 2100-2108. 499 Guggenheim JA, Northstone K, McMahon G, Ness AR, Deere K, Mattocks C, Pourcain BS & 500 Williams C (2012): Time outdoors and physical activity as predictors of incident 501 502 myopia in childhood: A prospective cohort study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 53: 2856-2865. 503 Guggenheim JA, Williams C, Northstone K, Howe LD, Tilling K, St Pourcain B, McMahon G 504 & Lawlor DA (2014): Does vitamin D mediate the protective effects of time outdoors 505 506 on myopia? Findings from a prospective birth cohort. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 55: 8550-8558. 507 508 Guo S, Gies P, King K & Lucas RM (2014): Sun exposure and vitamin D status as northeast Asian migrants become acculturated to life in Australia. Photochem Photobiol 90: 509 1455-1461. 510 511 Hanwell HEC, Vieth R, Cole DEC, et al. (2010): Sun exposure questionnaire predicts 512 circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in caucasian hospital workers in southern Italy. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 121: 334-337. 513 He M, Xiang F, Zeng Y & et al. (2015): Effect of time spent outdoors at school on the 514 development of myopia among children in China: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA 515 **314**: 1142-1148. 516 Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, et al. (2016): Global prevalence of myopia and high 517 myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. Ophthalmology 123: 1036-518 1042. 519 Hollams EM, Hart PH, Holt BJ, et al. (2011): Vitamin D and atopy and asthma phenotypes in 520 children: A longitudinal cohort study. Eur Respir J 38: 1320-1327. 521 Huang HM, Chang DS & Wu PC (2015): The association between near work activities and 522 myopia in children-a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 10: e0140419. 523 Jones-Jordan LA, Mitchell GL, Cotter SA, et al. (2011): Visual activity before and after the 524 onset of juvenile myopia. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 52: 1841-1850. 525 Jones G, Blizzard C, Riley MD, Parameswaran V, Greenaway TM & Dwyer T (1999): 526 527 Vitamin D levels in prepubertal children in southern Tasmania: Prevalence and determinants. Eur J Clin Nutr 53: 824-829. 528

- Jones LA, Sinnott LT, Mutti DO, Mitchell GL, Moeschberger ML & Zadnik K (2007): Parental
 history of myopia, sports and outdoor activities, and future myopia. Invest Ophthalmol
 Vis Sci 48: 3524-3532.
- Køster B, Søndergaard J, Nielsen JB, Allen M, Olsen A & Bentzen J (2017): The validated
 sun exposure questionnaire: Association of objective and subjective measures of sun
 exposure in a Danish population-based sample. Br J Dermatol **176**: 446-456.
- Lai JKC, Lucas RM, Banks E, Ponsonby A-L & Ausimmune Investigator Group (2012):
- 536 Variability in vitamin D assays impairs clinical assessment of vitamin D status. Intern
 537 Med J 42: 43-50.
- Mai X-M, Chen Y, Camargo CA, Jr & Langhammer A (2012): Cross-sectional and
 prospective cohort study of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level and obesity in adults:
 The HUNT Study. Am J Epidemiol **175**: 1029-1036.
- Marcus MW, de Vries MM, Montolio FGJ & Jansonius NM (2011): Myopia as a risk factor for
 open-angle glaucoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ophthalmology 118:
 1989-1994.
- Maunsell Z, Wright DJ & Rainbow SJ (2005): Routine isotope-dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry assay for simultaneous measurement of the 25-hydroxy
 metabolites of vitamins D2 and D3. Clin Chem **51**: 1683-1690.
- McKnight CM, Sherwin JC, Yazar S, et al. (2014): Myopia in young adults is inversely related
 to an objective marker of ocular sun exposure: The Western Australian Raine cohort
 study. Am J Ophthalmol **158**: 1079-1085.
- McKnight CM, Sherwin JC, Yazar S, et al. (2015): Pterygium and conjunctival ultraviolet
 autofluorescence in young Australian adults: the Raine Study. Clin Exp Ophthalmol
 43: 300-307.
- Mithal A, Wahl DA, Bonjour JP, et al. (2009): Global vitamin D status and determinants of
 hypovitaminosis D. Osteoporos Int **20**: 1807-1820.
- Mitry D, Charteris DG, Fleck BW, Campbell H & Singh J (2010): The epidemiology of
 rhegmatogenous retinal detachment: Geographical variation and clinical
 associations. Br J Ophthalmol **94**: 678-684.
- Mutti DO & Marks AR (2011): Blood levels of vitamin D in teens and young adults with
 myopia. Optom Vis Sci 88: 377-382.
- 560 Naidoo KS, Fricke TR, Frick KD, Jong M, Naduvilath TJ, Resnikoff S & Sankaridurg P
 561 (2019): Potential lost productivity resulting from the global burden of myopia:
- 562 Systematic review, meta-analysis, and modeling. Ophthalmology **126**: 338-346.
- 563 Nair-Shalliker V, Clements M, Fenech M & Armstrong BK (2013): Personal sun exposure
- and serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D concentrations. Photochem Photobiol **89**: 208-214.

- Nowson CA & Mergerison C (2002): Vitamin D intake and vitamin D status in australians.
 Med J Aust 177: 149-152.
- Pärssinen O, Kauppinen M & Viljanen A (2014): The progression of myopia from its onset at
 age 8–12 to adulthood and the influence of heredity and external factors on myopic
 progression. A 23-year follow-up study. Acta Ophthalmol **92**: 730-739.
- Pärssinen O, Soh ZD, Tan C-S, Lanca C, Kauppinen M & Saw S-M (2020): Comparison of
 myopic progression in finnish and Singaporean children. Acta Ophthalmol.
- Rose KA, Morgan IG, Ip J, Kifley A, Huynh S, Smith W & Mitchell P (2008): Outdoor activity
 reduces the prevalence of myopia in children. Ophthalmology **115**: 1279-1285.
- Rose KA, Morgan IG, Smith W, Burlutsky G, Mitchell P & Saw S (2008): Myopia, lifestyle,
 and schooling in students of chinese ethnicity in singapore and sydney. Arch
 Ophthalmol 126: 527-530.
- 577 Sanfilippo PG, Chu B-S, Bigault O, et al. (2014): What is the appropriate age cut-off for 578 cycloplegia in refraction? Acta Ophthalmol **92**: e458-e462.
- Shah RL, Huang Y, Guggenheim JA & Williams C (2017): Time outdoors at specific ages
 during early childhood and the risk of incident myopia. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 58:
 1158-1166.
- 582 Straker L, Mountain J, Jacques A, et al. (2017): Cohort profile: The Western Australian 583 pregnancy cohort (Raine) study–generation 2. Int J Epidemiol **46**: 1384-1385j.
- Tang SM, Lau T, Rong SS, et al. (2019): Vitamin D and its pathway genes in myopia:
 Systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Ophthalmol **103**: 8-17.
- 586Tideman JW, Polling JR, Voortman T, et al. (2016): Low serum vitamin D is associated with587axial length and risk of myopia in young children. Eur J Epidemiol **31**: 491-499.
- Tideman JWL, Snabel MCC, Tedja MS, et al. (2016): Association of axial length with risk of
 uncorrectable visual impairment for Europeans with myopia. JAMA Ophthalmol 134:
 1355-1363.
- van der Mei IAF, Blizzard L, Ponsonby A-L & Dwyer T (2006): Validity and reliability of adult
 recall of past sun exposure in a case-control study of multiple sclerosis. Cancer
 Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 15: 1538-1544.
- 594 Vongphanit J, Mitchell P & Wang JJ (2002): Prevalence and progression of myopic
- retinopathy in an older population. Ophthalmology **109**: 704-711.
- Wu P-C, Chen C-T, Lin K-K, et al. (2018): Myopia prevention and outdoor light intensity in a
 school-based cluster randomized trial. Ophthalmology **125**: 1239-1250.
- Wu P-C, Tsai C-L, Wu H-L, Yang Y-H & Kuo H-K (2013): Outdoor activity during class
 recess reduces myopia onset and progression in school children. Ophthalmology
 120: 1080-1085.

- 601 Yazar S, Forward H, McKnight CM, et al. (2013): Raine eye health study: Design,
- 602 methodology and baseline prevalence of ophthalmic disease in a birth-cohort study 603 of young adults. Ophthalmic Genet **34**: 199-208.
- Yazar S, Hewitt AW, Black LJ, et al. (2014): Myopia is associated with lower vitamin D status
 in young adults. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 55: 4552-4559.
- Zheng Y-F, Pan C-W, Chay J, Wong TY, Finkelstein E & Saw S-M (2013): The economic
 cost of myopia in adults aged over 40 years in Singapore. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci
 54: 7532-7537.
- Zhu K, Oddy WH, Holt P, et al. (2017): Tracking of vitamin D status from childhood to early
 adulthood and its association with peak bone mass. Am J Clin Nutr **106**: 276-283.

611



Figure 1 Best-fit model estimates of change in 25(OH)D trajectory in those with and without myopia at 20 years, stratified by sex and race. Shaded areas are 95% confidence intervals and were calculated using the emmeans package. The faded areas of the plots correspond to ages where no 25(OH)D concentration data were available in this study and plots are extrapolated from model fit.







620 myopia, moderate myopia and high myopia at 20 years of age, stratified by sex and race.

The faded areas of the plots correspond to ages where no 25(OH)D concentration data were

available in this study and plots are extrapolated from model fit.

623	Table 1: Participant characteristics at each of the 6-, 14-, 17- and 20-year Raine Study
C A	

624	follow-ups	
-----	------------	--

Follow-up	6-year (n=618)†	14-year(n=988)†	17-year (n=873)†	20-year (n=1260)‡
Age (years), mean (range)	5.91 (5.4, 6.8)	14.1 (13.0, 15.1)	17.0 (15.7, 18.9)	20.0 (19.1, 22.1)
Sex, n (%)				
Female	280 (45.31%)	477 (48.3%)	425 (48.7%)	604 (47.9%)
Male	338 (54.69%)	511 (51.7%)	448 (51.3%)	656 (52.1%)
Parent myopia (at 20 years)				
0 parents	364 (72.9%)	593 (71.9%)	523 (71.0%)	762 (72.6%)
1 parent	98 (19.6%)	169 (20.5%)	154 (20.9%)	211 (20.1%)
2 parent	37 (7.4%)	63 (7.6%)	60 (8.1%)	76 (7.2%)
Race, n (%)				
Caucasian	528 (85.4%)	855 (86.5%)	744 (85.2%)	1076 (85.4%)
Non-Caucasian	90 (14.6%)	133 (13.5%)	129 (14.8%)	184 (14.6%)
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²),	155(117165)	20 4 (19 6 22 0)	22 1 (20 0 24 2)	22 4 (21 1 26 2)
median (IQR)	15.5 (14.7, 10.5)	20.4 (10.0, 22.9)	22.1 (20.0, 24.3)	23.4 (21.1, 20.2)
25(OH)D concentration	70 4 (70 0 00 4)	92 9 /69 E 09 E)	70.2 / 67.7 . 96.0)	
(nmol/L) [§] , median (IQR)	79.4 (70.9, 90.4)	02.0 (00.5, 90.5)	72.3 (57.7, 60.9)	09.0 (50.0, 65.0)
[range]	[40.6–210.0]	[22.9–260.0]	[5.1–179.1]	[5.7–209.3]
Vitamin D Status				
Low	5 (0.8%)	39 (3.9%)	122 (14.0%)	186 (16.5%)

Medium	231 (37.4%)	319 (32.3%)	360 (41.2%)	490 (43.5%)
High	382 (61.8%)	630 (63.8%)	391 (44.8%)	451 (40.0%)

- 625 [†]Includes only those with 25(OH)D measurements at this follow-up and who participated in the 20-
- 626 year follow-up
- 627 [‡]1127 (89.4%) participants had a 25(OH)D measurement at this follow-up
- 628 [§]Deseasonalised by adjusting for month of collection

629 **Table 2** Associations between myopia or spherical equivalent at 20 years and age-specific

630 25(OH)D measurements

	Odds Ratio	for Myopia	Beta for Spherical Equivalent		
	(95% Confidence Interval)		(95% Confidence Interval)		
\mathbf{O}	Univariate	Multivariable [†]	Univariate	Multivariable [†]	
Deseasonalised 25(OH)D				
Concentration (per 1	0nmol/L)				
Year 6 (n=499‡)	0.88 (0.78, 0.98)*	0.94 (0.83, 1.07)	0.05 (-0.01, 0.12)	0.01 (-0.07, 0.08)	
Year 14 (n=823 [‡])	0.95 (0.89, 1.01)	0.99 (0.93, 1.06)	0.05 (0.02, 0.09)*	0.03 (-0.01, 0.07)	
Year 17 (n=733 [‡])	0.91 (0.85, 0.97)*	0.94 (0.87, 1.02)	0.07 (0.03, 0.11)*	0.03 (-0.01, 0.08)	
Year 20 (n=933‡)	0.90 (0.85, 0.96)*	0.91 (0.85, 0.98)*	0.08 (0.04, 0.12)*	0.07 (0.02, 0.11)*	
Low vitamin D status	6				
(Reference: Medium	/high vitamin D statu				
Year 6 (n=5)	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Year 14 (n=35¶)	0.97 (0.44, 2.14)	0.62 (0.25, 1.56)	-0.30 (-0.80, 0.19)	0.01 (-0.52,0.53)	
Year 17 (n=110¶)	1.94 (1.28, 2.94)*	1.71 (1.06, 2.76)*	-0.49 (-0.77, -0.20)*	-0.28 (-0.58, 0.02)	
Year 20 (n=156¶)	1.76 (1.24, 2.19)*	1.71 (1.14, 2.56)*	-0.40 (-0.65, -0.16)*	-0.29 (-0.57, -0.01)*	
* 0.05			•		

- 631 *p<0.05
- [†]Adjusted for sex, number of myopic parents, body mass index, studying at 20-year follow-up (yes/no)
- 633 and race (Caucasian/non-Caucasian)
- ⁴Number of participants with complete data for all variables in multivariable analysis
- 635 §Low vitamin D Status defined as 25(OH)D concentration <50 nmol/L; Medium/high vitamin D status
- 636 defined as 25(OH)D concentration ≥50 nmol/L
- 637 Number of participants in the low vitamin D category with complete data for all variables in
- 638 multivariable analysis
- 639 SUPPORTING INFORMATION
- 640 25-hydroxyvitamin D Assay Coefficients of Variation

- Table S1a Sensitivity Analysis comparing results of overall analysis of myopia (Table
- 642 2) to a subset of participants who have complete 25(OH)D data at all follow-ups
- Table S1b Sensitivity Analysis comparing results of overall analysis of myopia (Table
- 2) to a subset of participants who have complete 25(OH)D data at both the 6- and
- 645 20-year follow-ups or complete data at both the 14- and 20-year follow-ups
- Table S2 Sensitivity Analysis comparing results of overall analysis of spherical
- 647 equivalent (Table 2) to a subset of participants who have complete 25(OH)D data at 648 all follow-ups
- Table S3 Best-fit linear mixed-effects model estimates using square root of 25(OH)D
 at all follow-ups as outcome and myopia status at 20 years as predictor
- Table S4 Best-fit linear mixed-effects model estimates using square root of 25(OH)D
- at all follow-ups as outcome and myopia severity at 20 years as predictor
- Figure S1: Histograms of all available 25(OH)D data (i.e. at 6, 14, 17 and 20 years
 combined) after common transformations.
- Figure S2: Quantile-quantile plots of all available 25(OH)D data (i.e. at 6, 14, 17 and20 years combined) after common transformations

Author





University Library



A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Lingham, G;Mackey, DA;Zhu, K;Lucas, RM;Black, LJ;Oddy, WH;Holt, P;Walsh, JP;Sanfilippo, PG;Chan She Ping-Delfos, W;Yazar, S

Title:

Time spent outdoors through childhood and adolescence - assessed by 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration - and risk of myopia at 20 years

Date:

2021-01-10

Citation:

Lingham, G., Mackey, D. A., Zhu, K., Lucas, R. M., Black, L. J., Oddy, W. H., Holt, P., Walsh, J. P., Sanfilippo, P. G., Chan She Ping-Delfos, W. & Yazar, S. (2021). Time spent outdoors through childhood and adolescence - assessed by 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration - and risk of myopia at 20 years. ACTA OPHTHALMOLOGICA, 99 (6), pp.679-687. https://doi.org/10.1111/aos.14709.

Persistent Link:

http://hdl.handle.net/11343/298118